

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,646	08/07/2003	Shinji Saeki	030928	8813	
38834 73	590 .01/06/2005		EXAMINER		
	N, HATTORI, DANIE	ALI, MOHAMMAD M			
1250 CONNEC SUITE 700	CTICUT AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			3744		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	• •							
-		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	Vy .			
Office Action Summary		10/635,640	5	SAEKI, SHINJI	O-			
		Examin r		Art Unit				
		Mohamma	d Ali	3744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on app ars on the	cover sheet with the	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the del patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. s, a reply within the statur, y period will apply and will yy statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nety filed s will be considered timet the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>15 November</i> 20	04.					
•	•	This action is no						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. ☐ 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) [Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b)[to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I	uments have beer uments have beer ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/635,646

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TGK (JP 2002168173 A) in view of Ota et al., (6,234,763 B1). TGK discloses an air conditioning system comprising a variable displacement compressor 1, a condenser 2, an expansion valve 3, an evaporator 4, characterized in that the variable displacement compressor includes a control valve 30 responsive to an external signal for changing an area of a discharge side Pd or suction-side Ps refrigerant flow passage, and a constant differential pressure valve 50 or refrigerant permitted to escape from a crank chamber Pc to a suction chamber 20 through the orifice 25 such that a differential pressure developed across the control valve is constant, to thereby control refrigerant to the condenser 2. TGK discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. See Fig. 1 and the enclosed translation. However, TGK does not disclose a proportional flow

rate control valve. Ota et al., teach the use of a proportional flow rate control valve 51 in a variable displacement compressor 10 of an air conditioning system for the purpose of controlling the flow of refrigerant to the condenser 55. See Fig.1, column 19, lines 54-56. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the control valve of TGK in view of Ota et al., such that a proportional flow rate control valve could be provided in order to control the refrigerant to the condenser.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is 703-308-5032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Esquivel Denise can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,646

Art Unit: 3744

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Md.M.M. Ali Mohammad M. Ali January 3, 2005